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ABSTRACT

Introduction: The rising prevalence of metabolic syndrome and its serious side-effects have drawn the attention of researchers to the importance of adherence to self-care behavior and recognizing its related factors such as patients’ health literacy.

Objective: This study aimed at determining the relationship of health literacy with adherence to self-care behaviors in patients with metabolic syndrome living in Rasht City, Iran, in 2016.

Materials and Methods: This analytical cross-sectional study has been conducted on 184 patients with metabolic syndrome who referred to selective specialist clinics of Rasht. The subjects were recruited by consecutive sampling method. The study data were collected with a three-part research instrument consisting of a disease-related and demographic questionnaire, hypertension self-care activity level effects, and health literacy for Iranian adults. The obtained data were analyzed by descriptive and inferential statistics (The Chi-square test and multiple logistic regression).

Results: The findings have shown that a high percentage of samples have adhered to not smoking (90.2%) and avoidance of alcohol consumption (98.9%), and a low percentage to diet (21.2%) and physical exercise (18.5%). Most samples had adequate health literacy (44.6%). Their total health literacy had only a significant relationship with self-care behaviors related to losing weight (P=0.0001). On the whole, regression test analyses confirmed the predicting ability of decision making and health literacy behaviors in adherence to medication (β=0.006; 95%CI=1.269-2.948; P=0.001), diet (β=1.525; 95%CI=2.108-10.006; P=0.001), smoking (β=1.244; 95%CI=1.814-6.632; P=0.001), and weight control (β=0.931; 95%CI=1.458-4.415; P=0.001).

Conclusion: The findings of the research call for further attention to health literacy promotion, especially in decision making and behavior dimension in patients with metabolic syndrome.
Introduction

Along with the increasing obesity and sedentary lifestyle, metabolic syndrome has become a tremendous and growing challenge for health care services all over the world [1]. This syndrome is associated with cardiovascular risk factors, such as abdominal obesity, high blood pressure, impaired glucose tolerance or disruption of insulin metabolism, and lipid disorders (increased triglyceride and low high-density lipoprotein) [2]. Therefore, patients with metabolic syndrome are prone to catch cardiovascular diseases and type 2 diabetes 5-10 years after the diagnosis of the syndrome. Also, they are more susceptible to stroke and myocardial infarction. Eventually, the mortality rate in this syndrome regardless of having a history of cardiovascular diseases is twice as non-afflicted people [1].

Economic burden of metabolic syndrome is also noteworthy because the annual health expenditure of patients with metabolic syndrome is (60%) more than non-afflicted people [3]. For instance, the annual health cost of a patient with metabolic syndrome is $4000 in America [4]. It is estimated that around (25%) of people in Europe and (32%) of people in America have this syndrome. This figure rises to (40%) for older people [5]. The prevalence of this syndrome in Iran has been estimated (38%) according to the International Diabetes Federation (IDF) and (29%) according to National Cholesterol Education Program Adult Treatment Panel III (NCEP-ATP III) [6]. Thus, it is critical to pay more attention to the prevention and treatment of metabolic syndrome as well as using practical approaches towards this syndrome in society [7].

The exact etiology of this syndrome is unknown. But, genetics and environmental factors such as inappropri-
ate diet and obesity are already regarded as its associated factors [8]. Accordingly, for decreasing the risk of metabolic syndrome, medicinal therapy, and correction of lifestyle in observing diet are recommendations [9]. Evidence supports the effectiveness of early changes in lifestyle and use of necessary medications in preventing heart diseases and diabetes in the patients with this syndrome and noticeable reduction of their mortality rate with the use of statins [10, 11]. Observing these items require the active participation of high-risk patients [12]. So, self-care behavior, including learning and active performance of required skills, is considered as the cornerstone of long-term care management [13]. It is recommended that the patients with this syndrome be encouraged to do self-care behavior to control and treat this illness [14].

The expected self-care behaviors in patients with metabolic syndrome are usually a set of defined behaviors, including having a healthy diet, doing exercise, using medications, and so on which has to be followed by the patients every day to gain better control of the disease [15]. According to the study of Hamadzadeh et al. in Tehran, a large percentage of diabetics had an average or low self-care behaviors [16]. Zinat Motlagh et al. reported that only a small percentage of patients with high blood pressure had adherence to observing diet and exercise [17]. These findings may be because of the nature of self-care behavior, which is a process. This process needs knowledge and skills to do the recommended behavior by the patient [18]. People with high health literacy are capable of acquiring the correct and accurate information from different sources to understand their situation and also self-care behaviors [19]. According to the results of the national study done by Tavoudi et al., these conditions indicate inadequate health literacy in (44.4)%of the studied people [20]. The results of research done in Rasht City also show adequate health literacy, just, in (41.6)% of people with high blood pressure [21].

On the other hand, the studies on the relationship between health literacy and self-care behaviors yielded contradictory results [22-25]. Regarding the high prevalence of metabolic syndrome in the country and the low level of self-care behaviors in patients with chronic diseases, patients with metabolic syndrome need special attention [6, 14]. Therefore, this study aimed to examine the relationship between health literacy and self-care behaviors in patients with metabolic syndrome. It is hoped that the findings of this study be an appropriate guideline for health services managers and clinical nurses to take proper interventions towards health literacy promotion and also increase the level of self-care behavior of patients with metabolic syndrome.

Materials and Methods

This analytical cross-sectional study was conducted on the patients with metabolic syndrome who referred to selected specialist clinics of educational university hospitals affiliated to Guilan University of Medical Sciences and other health centers in Rasht City, Iran.

The sample size was estimated as 166 according to Raiesi et al. study (using the correlation coefficient of observing diet and the score of health literacy [r=0.22]), with the confidence interval of (95%) and power of (85%) [18]. To control the effect of confounding variables, the researcher added (10%) to the sample size, and eventually, 184 samples were recruited for the study. The inclusion criteria included having metabolic syndrome according to National Cholesterol Education Program Adult Treatment Panel III (NCEP-ATP III) (the existence of at least 3 out of 5 criteria, including waist circumference ≥88 cm in women and ≥102 cm in men; blood pressure ≥130.85 mm Hg; fasting blood glucose ≥100; blood triglyceride level ≥150 mg/dL; and high density lipoprotein >50 mg/dL in women and >40 in men) [26]; being 18-65 years old; having reading and writing ability; using at least one medicine to control metabolic syndrome symptoms; lacking disabling diseases like myocardial infarction, stroke, and remembering the frequency of doing self-care behaviors in the last seven days.

The sampling was done in the health centers in the morning shift. At first, all patients referred to clinics with relevant test results (triglyceride, cholesterol, high density lipoprotein, and fasting blood glucose) were selected during three months before data gathering. Then, their systolic and diastolic blood pressure (using digital barometer device model Beurer BM20, according to mm Hg) and waist circumference (using an inelastic measuring tape) were measured and recorded. The sampling was done in June and July of 2016.

The study data were collected with a 3-part tool, including personal and disease-related information questionnaire, Hypertension Self-Care Activity Level Effects (H-SCALE), and Health Literacy for Iranian Adults (HELIA).

The personal information questionnaire collected information about age, gender, marital status, level of education, employment status of the samples as well
as their disease-related information, including how long the patient had diabetes, high blood pressure, and high blood lipids, history of receiving instructions about these disorders and weight control. H-SCALE, designed by Seymour and Warren-Findlow in 2011, was the second part of the study instrument which contained 31 questions for measuring the adherence to self-care behaviors in observing medications (three questions), observing diet (12 questions), doing exercise (two questions), smoking (one question), alcohol drinking (three questions), and observing weight control (ten questions) in the last seven days before sampling [27]. In this study, two questions about adherence to a low sugar diet were added to observing diet questions. The questions in all subscales except weight control were rated from zero to seven (based on the frequency of the behaviors done in the week days). Regarding the weight control subscale, the questions were rated based on a five-point Likert-type scale from five = always to one = never.

Finally, the samples were categorized based on their obtained scores into two groups. The adherence group to the self-care behaviors were those who obtained 21 score in medication adherence (range: 0-21), ≥6 score in diet adherence (range: 0-7), ≥8 score in doing exercise (range: 0-14), zero score in smoking (range: 0-7), zero score in drinking alcohol (range: 0-21), and ≥40 score in weight control (range: 10-50). The others were in the non-adherence group.

The validity of this questionnaire after translation and back-translation was evaluated with content validation method (with receiving opinions of nine nursing specialists and one endocrinologist). Quantitative methods of content validity by determining CVI and CVR confirmed the validity of all questions but two questions in the diet section that were removed from the questions because of low score obtained (0.62) in the clarity of phrase. The reliability of the scale was evaluated by performing a pilot study on 20 eligible people (to be in the study), using the test-retest method with a two-week interval. Comparing the results of the two tests showed no significant differences between the phases. The internal consistency of the tool was evaluated by calculating the Cronbach alpha coefficients in all subscales and confirmed by obtaining an alpha coefficient of more than 0.7.

The third part of the instrument was HELIA questionnaire that measured the samples' health literacy [25]. This 33-item tool evaluates health literacy in dimensions of access (six items), reading skill (four items), understanding (seven items), appraisal (four items), decision making and application of health information (12 items). The items were rated according to 5-point Likert-type scale in all dimensions, except reading, from never (score one), hardly (score two), sometimes (score three), mostly (score four), and always (score five). The reading items are rated based on a five-option scale with answers of “absolutely hard”, “hard”, “not hard not easy”, “easy”, and “absolutely easy”. The scores gained in this instrument, after calculating it in percentage (with the range of 0-100), were categorized in four levels of inadequate health literacy (0-50), not enough (50.1-66), adequate (66.1-84), and high (84.1-100). This questionnaire has been customized for Iran [28].

The study data were collected after receiving a written letter of consent from participants. The analyses were done by descriptive statistics, the Chi-square test, and multiple logistic regression in SPSS V. 22. The significance level was set at P level less than 0.05.

Results

The Mean±SD age of the study samples was 52.5±7.6 years. They were mostly men (55.4%), married (95.1%), employed (35.9%), and had a diploma or under diploma education (60.3%). The Mean±SD duration of having diabetes, high blood pressure, and high blood lipids in the samples were respectively 5.41±5.25, 5.21±4.49, and 4.93±4.41 years. The percentages of the samples who had a history of receiving education about self-care behavior in managing blood pressure, diabetes, high blood lipids, and losing weight were (27.2%), (20.7%), (10.9%), and (9.8%), respectively.

Table 1 presents the adherence level of the samples to self-care behaviors. Figure 1 shows the relationship of adherence to self-care behaviors in studied samples with regard to their demographic variables.

The highest Mean±SD value of health literacy of the samples was seen in understanding dimension (85.81±15.17) and next in decision making and behavior (81.57±15.66), access (72.94±23.20), appraisal (71.64±23.17), and reading (69.97±26.94). The Mean±SD total score of samples’ health literacy was 76.39±15.56. Most of the samples had adequate health literacy (44.6%). Table 2 presents the relationship of health literacy level with adherence to self-care behaviors in the studied samples.

According to logistic regression analysis, access dimension was the predictor of a dherence to weight control (P=0.011) and decision making and behavior was the predictor of adherence to using medications (P=0.002),
diet, cigarette smoking, and weight control ($P=0.001$, $P=0.001$, and $P=0.001$, respectively). Also, age variable in two age ranges of 50-60 years and older than 60 years ($P=0.006$), compared to age range of below 50 years ($P=0.0029$) was the predictor of adherence to using medication; retired people compared to employed people was the predictor of adherence to exercise and weight control ($P=0.042$); female gender compared to male gender was the predictor of adherence to smoking ($P=0.007$); not having diabetes compared to five years

**Table 1.** Relationship of adherence to self-care behaviors in studied variables with their demographic characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adherence</th>
<th>Medication*</th>
<th>Diet*</th>
<th>Physical Exercise*</th>
<th>Smoking*</th>
<th>Weight Control*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.113</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.147</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of having diabetes</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.135</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of having high blood pressure</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of having high blood lipids</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Having other diseases</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of self-care education on diabetes</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of self-care education on high blood pressure</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of self-care education on high blood lipids</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of self-care education on weight control</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The Chi-square test
of having diabetes was the predictor of adherence to exercise (P=0.039) (Table 3).

Discussion

The results of this study indicated that a considerable percentage of the studied samples were not adherent to self-care behaviors in observing a healthy diet and doing exercise and controlling their weight. This result, which is consistent with some studies, shows these patients should receive self-care promoting interventions because adherence to self-care behaviors is crucial [17, 27]. For instance, appropriate self-care behaviors can reduce the risk of cardiovascular side-effects that are responsible for the death of (70-80%) of them [29].

On the other hand, the results show an increase in adherence to self-care behaviors in using the medicine in older people. This result agrees with the outcome of some other studies [17, 27]. These results are obtained with regard to the possibility of the existence of other diseases in older people such as cognitive problems (Alzheimer and dementia), physical limitations, vision and hearing impairment, and low literacy that each of them can weaken adherence to medicine usage in these ages [30]. Of course, older people are usually affected by several simultaneous and chronic illnesses, and their understanding of the potential disability of the disease may make them more sensitivity towards using medications. In addition, more attention of the family members towards the elderly can be another factor in their adherence to using medications [31].

Also, the over 60 year’s age samples in this study had a weaker adherence to diet compared to other age groups. These findings are consistent with Elbur study results. This researcher believed that cultural elements were among the responsible factors for the weak adherence to diet in this age group [32]. This argument is acceptable considering that most participants in this study were men and preparing and cooking food is mainly a responsibility of women in Iranian culture.

Findings of this study indicated that retired people had more adherence to exercise and weight control tasks compared to employed people and housekeeper(P=0.008). It should be noted that retired people, regardless of their history of employment and its related social relations, have lower levels of occupational and routine life concerns, than employed people. Therefore, this group of people may have more time for arranging and performing physical activity plans. However, the result of Zinat Motlagh study shows no significant relationship between self-care behavior and employment condition in the studied samples [17].

Adequate and high health literacy in most of the samples of this study, which is similar to the results of Haghighi study, is a promising finding. Experts believe that people with a high level of health literacy are capable of experiencing better and more appropriate health services because of their cooperation with health centers and following healthy instructions [33, 34]. However, the results of this study are inconsistent with Izadirad study results [35]. The discrepancy in the findings can be related to the study population and samples. This study was conducted on patients with metabolic syndrome in a city in North of Iran (Rasht) and with the samples’ Mean±SD age of 52.5±7.6 years. However, Izadirad et al. conducted their study in a southern province (Baluchestan) on younger samples [36].

A positive and significant relationship exists between total level of health literacy and all dimensions of it, including reading, access, understanding, appraisal, and decision making and behavior only with adherence to

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Health Literacy Level</th>
<th>Medication*</th>
<th>Diet*</th>
<th>Physical Activity*</th>
<th>Losing Weight*</th>
<th>Alcohol*</th>
<th>Smoking*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reading</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Understanding</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appraisal</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decision making and behavior</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total health literacy</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The Chi-squared test
Table 3. Predictive factors in the studied variables’ adherence to self-care behaviors in a multiple logistic regression model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predictor</th>
<th>Demographic and Clinical Factors of the Samples</th>
<th>Beta Coefficient</th>
<th>Standard Error</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
<th>Odds Ratio 95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Medication use</td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>50-60 years compared to under 50 years</td>
<td>0.806</td>
<td>0.370</td>
<td>0.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Above 60 years compared to under 50 years old</td>
<td>1.483</td>
<td>0.534</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Having other chronic diseases</td>
<td>1.087</td>
<td>0.593</td>
<td>0.067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Health literacy</td>
<td>Reading</td>
<td>0.255</td>
<td>0.145</td>
<td>0.078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Decision making and behavior</td>
<td>0.660</td>
<td>0.215</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diet</td>
<td>Health literacy</td>
<td>Decision making and behavior</td>
<td>1.525</td>
<td>0.397</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity</td>
<td>Duration of having diabetes</td>
<td>Not having diabetes compared to having diabetes for more than 5 years</td>
<td>1.333</td>
<td>0.645</td>
<td>0.039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Having diabetes for 1-2 years compared to having diabetes for more than 5 years</td>
<td>0.154</td>
<td>0.750</td>
<td>0.837</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Having diabetes for 3-5 years compared to over 5 years</td>
<td>1.103</td>
<td>0.678</td>
<td>0.104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Employment status</td>
<td>Unemployed compared to housekeeper</td>
<td>1.585</td>
<td>1.012</td>
<td>0.117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Retired compared to housekeeper</td>
<td>1.522</td>
<td>0.575</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Employed compared to housekeeper</td>
<td>0.742</td>
<td>0.597</td>
<td>0.214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>Women compared to men</td>
<td>2.323</td>
<td>0.862</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reading</td>
<td>-0.468</td>
<td>0.269</td>
<td>0.082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Decision making and behavior</td>
<td>1.244</td>
<td>0.331</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controlling weight</td>
<td>Health literacy</td>
<td>Access</td>
<td>0.453</td>
<td>0.178</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Decision making and behavior</td>
<td>0.931</td>
<td>0.283</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Unemployed compared to employed</td>
<td>0.280</td>
<td>0.407</td>
<td>0.492</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Employment status</td>
<td>Housekeeper compared to employed</td>
<td>-1.456</td>
<td>1.203</td>
<td>0.226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Retired compared to employed</td>
<td>0.843</td>
<td>0.414</td>
<td>0.042</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
self-care behavior in losing weight. This is one of the noteworthy findings of this study. So that the people with a higher level of total health literacy and its dimensions had more adherence in losing weight measures. This finding was not consistent with the results of many studies. For instance, Seyed Shohadaei study indicates a significant relationship between health literacy and self-care dimensions in using medication and diet [22].

Raiesi et al. found only a direct and significant relationship between health literacy and self-care behavior in using medications and doing exercise [18]. Ghaedi et al. study also indicates an indirect and significant relationship between health literacy and self-care behavior in observing diet and doing exercise [25]. The discrepancies in the findings of the mentioned studies with this study may be due to the differences in the study instrument used for evaluating health literacy.

Finally, examining the relationship of health literacy with adherence to self-care behaviors in patients with metabolic syndrome with regression test indicated that the total level of health literacy did not have the predicting ability for self-care behavior. But, in our study, the decision making and behavior dimension of health literacy could predict the self-care behaviors of using medications, observing a healthy diet, smoking, and controlling weight.

Yokokawa also found in his study that decision-making dimension of health literacy had a significant statistical relationship with the characteristic of a healthy lifestyle [37]. This finding, which indicates the effect of patients’ taking part in joint therapy decision making to improve self-care behaviors, is justifiable. However, patients with metabolic syndrome need knowledge and proper attitude for successful control of the syndrome and promotion of their adherence to self-care behavior. Therefore, it is necessary that in disease prevention and improving health plans, health, and treatment authorities pay more attention to decision making dimension of health literacy. Also, it is suggested that the skills and learning capacities of patients in using social networks, especially the Internet, social media, and communicating skills be developed.

The uncontrollable limitations of this study were the non-random sampling method and collecting data using the self-reporting method in the crowded environment of clinics that made it difficult for the sample to remind self-care behavior in the last week.
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